

Errata

September 4, 2007

Page numbers are with respect to the version suitable for print. In the version for viewing online, you may have to subtract a few pages (but the line numbers should be okay).

General: All occurrences of the symbol \otimes should be replaced by the symbol \oplus . Don't see the difference? Maybe if I place them next to each other: $\otimes \oplus$. Why? Well, compare the thickness of the \otimes and \oplus symbols in Subsection 3.4.2 on page 24; the difference is extremely ugly.

The longer explanation: As you may have noticed, I have used a smaller tensor symbol to denote elements such as $v \otimes w$ of a tensor product space such as $V \otimes W$ (inspired by Hatcher's excellent book *Algebraic Topology*). This made it necessary to use a slightly bolder tensor symbol; but consistency then demands that one also uses the corresponding bolder symbol for direct sum.

p. 26, line -3: There is now reason \rightsquigarrow There is no reason [an embarrassing and potentially confusing mistake]

p. 61, lines -2-1: We may assume ... \rightsquigarrow [remove the entire sentence; the statement is simply wrong]

p. 62, line 1: Similarly, we may... \rightsquigarrow We may

p. 62, lines 2-5: ...of Σ , so the cut ...cylinder). \rightsquigarrow ...of Σ . [remove everything after the comma until the full stop]

p. 63, **Proposition 5.2(4)**: $\mathbb{Q}^{(r-1)r/2} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Q}^{(r-1)r/2}$. [add a full stop]

p. 65, line 21: Would it for e.g. \rightsquigarrow Would it e.g. [delete the word for]